

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/699,749 11/03/2003		Loren D. Mock	A10019 1010.1 (24.9)	4254			
30166	7590	09/08/2005		EXAMINER			
WOMBLE 300 N. GRE		E SANDRIDGE &	JOHNSON, BLAIR M				
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
GREENSBO	RO, NC	27401	3634	<del>//</del>			

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	io.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/699,749		MOCK, LOREN D.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
		Blair M. Johns		3634						
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress				
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply toply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	TE OF THIS (6(a). In no event, hill apply and will exp cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	i.  It is the mailing date of this of					
Status										
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
,										
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositio	on of Claims									
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗀 🖟	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election requ	irement.						
Application	on Papers									
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	r.							
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> acce	epted or b) 🔲	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the o	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
!	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correcti	on is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 🏾	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Ex	aminer. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:				-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>									
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action		•		ed.					
Attachment	(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date 2/26/04: 5/2/05			6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/699,749 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-19, drawn to a garage door, classified in class 160, subclass
 201.

II. Claims 30-33, drawn to method of making a garage door, classified in class 29.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method can be used to make a wall panel for a building.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Craig Popalis on 8/29/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-29. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 30-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Leist et al. 2002/0179255.

'255 discloses embossed (paragraph 0012) panels made of metal or plastic (paragraph 0029) that have raised portions thereon that cooperate to form an overall appearance of the door when it is closed. The panels are further embossed to look like wood grain. The recitation that the door provide a simulated double door is clearly an objective limitation based on individual interpretations of it's appearance and the definition of "doors".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leist et al '255 in view of Forsland 6,446,695.

Art Unit: 3634

Forsland discloses a multipaneled garage door which simulates double doors. While Leist et al does not simulate typical doors as presently disclosed, i.e. with diagonal portions, tongue and groove, etc., he is clearly concerned with decorative appearances. Consequently, it would have been obvious to modify Leist et al whereby he provides his door with the appearance of the Forsland doors. Modifications of the appearances taught by Forsland would also have been obvious since such is the subject of design choice based purely on aesthetics.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 9/6/05